

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/986,772	OHTA, KEIZO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending.

 (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mark Nusbaum.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: 5:30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 & 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment was proposed to the applicant and agreed upon, placing the present application into conditions for allowance. Applicant indicated that it should be understood that the claimed invention, for generating a water surface object, should not be limited to representing a plate.